Deep Learning

Instructor - Simon Lucey

16-423 - Designing Computer Vision Apps



Today

- Single-Layer Perceptron
- Multi-Layer Perceptron
- Convolutional Neural Network



$$\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}_1$$
$$\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + w_0 \stackrel{\geq}{<} \mathbf{0}$$
$$\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}_2$$







Reminder: Perceptron

- Rosenblatt simulated the perceptron on a IBM 704 computer at Cornell in 1957.
- Input scene (i.e. printed character) was illuminated by powerful lights and captured on a 20x20 cadmium sulphide photo cells.
- Weights of perceptron were applied using variable rotary resistors.
- Often times referred to as the very first neural network.



"Frank Rosenblatt"

Linear Discriminant Functions









 $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}_1$ $\stackrel{\geq}{<} 0$ $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}_2$ $\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$



$$\begin{array}{c} \circ \quad t_i = +1 \\ \diamond \quad t_i = -1 \\ \end{array} \\ \text{binary labels} \end{array}$$

 $\mathbf{x}_i = i$ -th training example $\mathbf{w} =$ weight vector



$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{o} & t_i = +1 \\ \diamondsuit & t_i = -1 \end{array}$$

binary labels

 $\mathbf{x}_i = i$ -th training example $\mathbf{w} =$ weight vector



$$\begin{array}{c} \circ \quad t_i = +1 \\ \diamond \quad t_i = -1 \end{array} \\ \text{binary labels} \end{array}$$

 $\mathbf{x}_i = i$ -th training example $\mathbf{w} =$ weight vector



Other Objectives

• Other objectives are possible,



Optimizing Weights

• Expressing the final objective as,

$$f(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} E(t_n \cdot \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{w})$$

Simplest strategy is to employ gradient-descent optimization,

$$\mathbf{w} \to \mathbf{w} - \eta \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$$

Optimizing Weights

• Expressing the final objective as,

$$f(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} E(t_n \cdot \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{w})$$

Simplest strategy is to employ gradient-descent optimization,

$$\mathbf{w} \to \mathbf{w} - \eta \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$$

"Learning Rate"

Gradient-Descent Optimization

- Works for any function that can have a gradient estimated.
- Guaranteed to converge towards local-minima.
- Scales well to extremely large amounts of data.
- Notoriously slow (linear convergence).
- Often guess work associated tuning the learning rate.

Optimizing Weights



Optimizing Weights



Optimizing Weights - Per Sample

• Objective nearly always summation over N samples,

$$f(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(\mathbf{w})$$

• So one can update the weights per sample,

$$\mathbf{w} \to \mathbf{w} - \frac{\mathfrak{O}}{N} \frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$$

"Learning Rate"

Single Layer - Example

 $f_n(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} ||1 - t_n \cdot \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{w}||_2^2$

Single Layer - Example

 $f_n(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} ||1 - t_n \cdot \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{w}||_2^2$

 $\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = (\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{w} - t_n) \mathbf{x}_n$

Today

- Single-Layer Perceptron
- Multi-Layer Perceptron
- Convolutional Neural Network











Reminder: Hierarchical Learning



Reminder: Hierarchical Learning





Layer 1 - MLP



h() = non-linear function $[\mathbf{w}_1^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_M^{(1)}] = 1 \text{st layer's } D \times M \text{ weights}$ $\mathbf{x} = D \times 1 \text{ raw input}$

Layer 2 - MLP



 $\mathbf{z} = M \times 1$ output of layer 1 $\mathbf{w}^{(2)} = 2$ nd layer's $M \times 1$ weight vector

Obvious Questions?

- How many layers?
- Is the solution globally optimal?
- What non-linearity should you use?
- What learning rate?
- How to should I estimate my gradients?

Obvious Questions?

- How many layers?
- Is the solution globally optimal?
- What non-linearity should you use?
- What learning rate?



Back-Propagation



Back-Propagation


$$f_n(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} ||1 - t_n \cdot \mathbf{z}_n^T \mathbf{w}^{(2)}||_2^2$$

s.t. $\mathbf{z}_n = [z_1, \dots, z_M]^T$
 $z_m = h(\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)})$
 $1 \qquad \partial h(x)$

where
$$h(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x)}, \quad \frac{\partial h(x)}{\partial x} = 1 - h(x)^2$$



From previous example we know for layer 2,

$$\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}^{(2)}} = (\mathbf{z}_n^T \mathbf{w}^{(2)} - t_n) \mathbf{z}_n$$

Using the chain rule we can determine that,

$$\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial z_m} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)}}$$

Using the chain rule we can determine that,

$$\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial z_m} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)}}$$

$$\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial z_m} = (\mathbf{z}_n^T \mathbf{w}^{(2)} - t_n) w_m^{(2)}$$

Using the chain rule we can determine that,

$$\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial z_m} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)}}$$

$$\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial z_m} = (\mathbf{z}_n^T \mathbf{w}^{(2)} - t_n) w_m^{(2)}$$

$$\frac{\partial z_m}{\partial \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)}} = [1 - h(\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)})^2] \mathbf{x}_n$$

 $\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}^{(2)}} = (\mathbf{z}_n^T \mathbf{w}^{(2)} - t_n) \mathbf{z}_n$

 $\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}^{(2)}} = \delta_n \mathbf{z}_n$

$$\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}^{(2)}} = \delta_n \mathbf{z}_n$$

$$\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial z_m} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)}}$$
$$\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial z_m} = (\mathbf{z}_n^T \mathbf{w}^{(2)} - t_n) w_m^{(2)}$$

$$\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}^{(2)}} = \delta_n \mathbf{z}_n$$

$$\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial z_m} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)}}$$
$$\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial z_m} = \delta_n w_m^{(2)}$$

 $\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}^{(2)}} = \delta_n \mathbf{z}_n$

 $\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial z_m} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial \mathbf{w}_m^{(1)}}$ $\frac{\partial f_n(\mathbf{w})}{\partial z_m} = \underbrace{\delta_n v_m^{(2)}}$

 Back propagation refers to the property that components of gradients found at higher layers, can be re-used at lower layers.



Multiple Layers



Today

- Single-Layer Perceptron
- Multi-Layer Perceptron
- Convolutional Neural Network

 $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}$

Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA): attempts to the K eigenvectors $\mathbf{V} = {\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{k=1}^K \text{ of } \mathbf{S}_b \mathbf{S}_w^{-1} \text{ whe}}$ and \mathbf{S}_w are the within- and between- class scatter trices of the train-set. These K eigenvectors ca thought of as the K largest modes of discrimin in the train-set. Since $\mathbf{S}_b \mathbf{S}_w^{-1}$ is not symmetrica must employ simultaneous diagonalization [12] to the solution. PCA is typically applied before LDA pecially if the dimensionality of the raw face reprtations is large, so as to minimize sample-size not

cked result is used trance, and the 3D

k-to-peak recog-

If there is not enough training data and many cl LDA overfits and can perform poorly, on the other PCA could not be discriminative enough if two classes a similar subspace. For the purposes of this paper PCA in conjunction with LDA was found to be most enough the task of facial action recognition; we shall refer to classifier as *NN-LDA*.

Serre & Poggio 2007

Pinto et al. 2008

2 Support Vector Machine (SVN/)

 $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}$

Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA): attempts to the K eigenvectors $\mathbf{V} = {\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{k=1}^K \text{ of } \mathbf{S}_b \mathbf{S}_w^{-1} \text{ whe}}$ and S_w are the within- and between- class scatter trices of the train-set. These K eigenvectors ca thought of as the K largest modes of discrimin in the train-set. Since $S_b S_m^{-1}$ is not symmetrica must employ simultaneous diagonalization [12] to the solution. PCA is typically applied before LDA pecially if the dimensionality of the raw face repr tations is large, so as to minimize sample-size no

icked result is used arance, and the 3D

k-to-peak recog-

If there is not enough training data and many cl LDA overfits and can perform poorly, on the other PCAxcough not be discriminative enough if two classes a similar subspace. For the purposes of this paper PCA in conjunction with LDA was found to be most or itable the task Sf facial action recognition; we shall refer to classifier as *NN-LDA*. Hubel & Wiesel 1962 Lowe 1999 Serre & Poggio 2007 Pinto et al. 2008

(SVI)

Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA): attempts to the K eigenvectors $\mathbf{V} = {\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{k=1}^K \text{ of } \mathbf{S}_b \mathbf{S}_w^{-1} \text{ whe}}$ and \mathbf{S}_w are the within- and between- class scatter trices of the train-set. These K eigenvectors ca thought of as the K largest modes of discrimin in the train-set. Since $\mathbf{S}_b \mathbf{S}_w^{-1}$ is not symmetrica must employ simultaneous diagonalization [12] to the solution. PCA is typically applied before LDA pecially if the dimensionality of the raw face reprtations is large, so as to minimize sample-size not

cked result is used trance, and the 3D

 $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}$

k-to-peak recog-

If there is not enough training data and many cl LDA overfits and can perform poorly, on the other PCA could not be discriminative enough if two classes a similar subspace. For the purposes of this paper PCA in conjunction with LDA was found to be most enough the task of facial action recognition; we shall refer to classifier as *NN-LDA*. Hubel & Wiesel 1962 Lowe 1999 Serre & Poggio 2007 Pinto et al. 2008

Cumpont Victor Mashing (CVM)

Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA): attempts to the K eigenvectors $\mathbf{V} = {\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{k=1}^K \text{ of } \mathbf{S}_b \mathbf{S}_w^{-1} \text{ whe}}$ and \mathbf{S}_w are the within- and between- class scatter trices of the train-set. These K eigenvectors ca thought of as the K largest modes of discrimin in the train-set. Since $\mathbf{S}_b \mathbf{S}_w^{-1}$ is not symmetrica must employ simultaneous diagonalization [12] to the solution. PCA is typically applied before LDA pecially if the dimensionality of the raw face reprtations is large, so as to minimize sample-size not

cked result is used trance, and the 3D

 $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}$

k-to-peak recog-

If there is not enough training data and many cl LDA overfits and can perform poorly, on the other PCA could not be discriminative $e_{PO}(g)$ of $(t_{PO} \oplus g)$ of $(t_{PO$

Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA): attempts to the K eigenvectors $\mathbf{V} = {\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{k=1}^K \text{ of } \mathbf{S}_b \mathbf{S}_w^{-1} \text{ whe}}$ and \mathbf{S}_w are the within- and between- class scatter trices of the train-set. These K eigenvectors ca thought of as the K largest modes of discrimin in the train-set. Since $\mathbf{S}_b \mathbf{S}_w^{-1}$ is not symmetrica must employ simultaneous diagonalization [12] to the solution. PCA is typically applied before LDA pecially if the dimensionality of the raw face reprtations is large, so as to minimize sample-size not

cked result is used trance, and the 3D

 $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}$

k-to-peak recog-

If there is not enough training data and many cl LDA overfits and can perform poorly, on the other PCA could not be discriminative enough if two classes a similar subspace. For the purposes of this paper, PCA in conjunction with LDA was found to be most or itable the task of facial action recognition; we shall refer to classifier as *NN-LDA*. Hubel & Wiesel 1962 Lowe 1999 Serre & Poggio 2007 Pinto et al. 2008

Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA): attempts to the K eigenvectors $\mathbf{V} = {\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{k=1}^K \text{ of } \mathbf{S}_b \mathbf{S}_w^{-1} }$ whe 2DSand S_w are the within- and between- class scatter trices of the train-set. These K eigenvectors ca thought of as the K largest modes of discrimin in the train-set. Since $S_b S_w^{-1}$ is not symmetrica must employ simultaneous diagonalization [12] to the solution. PCA is typically applied before L-DA pecially if the dimensionality of the raw face repr $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}$ tations is large, so as to minimize sample-size ho $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} * \{(\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{g}_F) \circ (\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{g}_F) \} \end{bmatrix}$ If there is not enough training data and many cl cked result is used LDA overfits and can perform poorly, on the other rance, and the 3D PCA could not be discriminative enough if two classes a similar subspace. For the purposes of this paper PCA in conjunction with LDA was found to be most st itable the task of facial action recognition; we shall refer to classifier as NN-LDA. Hubel & Wiesel <u>1962</u> Lowe 1999 k-to-peak recog-Serre & Poggio 2007 Pinto et al. 2008 ssifiers for facial

Current Vector Mechano (CVM)

Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA): attempts to the K eigenvectors $\mathbf{V} = {\mathbf{v}_k}_{k=1}^K$ of $\mathbf{S}_b \mathbf{S}_w^{-1}$ whe and \mathbf{S}_w are the within- and between- class scatter trices of the train-set. These K eigenvectors ca thought of as the K largest modes of discrimin in the train-set. Since $\mathbf{S}_b \mathbf{S}_w^{-1}$ is not symmetrica must employ simultaneous diagonalization [12] to the solution. PCA is typically applied before LDA pecially if the dimensionality of the raw face reprtations is large, so as **Contrast** size not

cked result is used trance, and the 3D

 $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{R}$

k-to-peak recog-

If there is not enough training data and mary cl LDA overfits and can perform poorly, on the other PCA could not be discriminative enough if two classes a similar subspace. For the purposes of this paper, PCA in conjunction with LDA was found to be most or itable the task of facial action recognition; we shall refer to classifier as *NN-LDA*. Hubel & Wiesel 1962 Lower 1999 Serre & Poggio 2007 Pinto et al. 2008

Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA): attempts to the K eigenvectors $\mathbf{V} = {\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{k=1}^K \text{ of } \mathbf{S}_b \mathbf{S}_w^{-1} }$ whe 2DSand S_w are the within- and between- class scatter trices of the train-set. These K eigenvectors ca thought of as the \mathcal{F} largest modes \mathcal{O} us crimin in the train-set. Since $\mathfrak{S}_b(\mathfrak{S}_w)^{-1}$ is not symmetrica must employ simultaneous diagonalization [12] to the solution. PCA is typically applied before LDA pecially if the dimensionality of the raw face repr Contrast tations is large, so as size no $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}$ Normalization) If there is not enough training data and many cl cked result is used LDA overfits and can perform poorly, on the other rance, and the 3D PCA could not be discriminative enough if two classes a similar subspace. For the purposes of this paper PCA in conjunction with LDA was found to be most suitable the task of facial action recognition; we shall refer to classifier as NN-LDA. Hubel & Wiesel <u>1962</u> Lowe 1999 k-to-peak recog-Serre & Poggio 2007 Pinto et al. 2008

ssifiers for facial

5.2 Support Vector Mechana (SVM)

Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA): attempts to the K eigenvectors $\mathbf{V} = {\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{k=1}^K \text{ of } \mathbf{S}_b \mathbf{S}_w^{-1} }$ whe 2DSand S_w are the within- and between- class scatter trices of the train-set. The Eeigenvectors ca Feature Extraction in Trica thought of as the in the train-set. must employ simultaneous diagonalization [12] to the solution. PCA is typically applied before LDA pecially if the dimensionality of the raw face repr Contrast tations is large, so as size no $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{R}$ Normalization) If there is not enough training data and many cl cked result is used LDA overfits and can perform poorly, on the other rance, and the 3D PCA could not be discriminative enough if two classes a similar subspace. For the purposes of this paper PCA in conjunction with LDA was found to be most st itable the task of facial action recognition; we shall refer to classifier as NN-LDA. Hubel & Wiesel <u>1962</u> Lowe 1999 k-to-peak recog-Serre & Poggio 2007 Pinto et al. 2008 ssifiers for facial Current Vector Mechano (CVM)



LeCun 1980



"signal"







Multiple Filters

 $\begin{bmatrix} D \cdot M \times 1 \\ \mathbf{x} * \mathbf{h}_1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{h}_M$

Multiple Filters



Multiple Filters














Convolutional Neural Network



Data and machine learning



Impact on Speech Recognition



Impact on Object Recognition



Audio

TIMIT Phone classification	Accuracy	TIMIT Speaker identification	Accuracy		
Prior art (Clarkson et al.,1999)	79.6%	Prior art (Reynolds, 1995)	99.7%		
Feature learning	80.3%	Feature learning	100.0%		

Images

CIFAR Object classification	Accuracy	NORB Object classification	Accuracy
Prior art (Ciresan et al., 2011)	80.5%	Prior art (Scherer et al., 2010)	94.4%
Feature learning	82.0%	Feature learning	95.0%

Video Hollywood2 Classification YouTube Accuracy Accuracy Prior art (Laptev et al., 2004) Prior art (Liu et al., 2009) 48% 71.2% Feature learning Feature learning 53% 75.8% UCF KTH Accuracy Accuracy Prior art (Wang et al., 2010) Prior art (Wang et al., 2010) 92.1% 85.6% Feature learning Feature learning 93.9% 86.5%

Text/NLP

Paraphrase detection	Accuracy	Sentiment (MR/MPQA data)	Accuracy
Prior art (Das & Smith, 2009)	76.1%	Prior art (Nakagawa et al., 2010)	77.3%
Feature learning	76.4%	Feature learning	77.7%

Visualizing CNNs



Feature visualization of convolutional net trained on ImageNet from [Zeiler & Fergus 2013]

More to read...



 Bishop "Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning", 2006. Chapter 5.